53

Chack

Docket No.: YOR9-2000-0045

Application for United States Patent Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

is attached hereto

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled <u>METHODOLOGY FOR CREATING AND MAINTAINING A SCHEME FOR CATEGORIZING ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS</u> the specification of which:

one)							
шеј	<u> </u>	was filed on_		as			
			il No				
			l on	(if applicable)			
		at I have reviewed ny amendment refer		ontents of the above	identified specifica	ion, including	; the
		he duty to disclose deral Regulations,		s material to the exar	nination of this appl	ication in acco	ordance
or inventor	's certificate li	isted below and hav		United States Code, § ow any foreign applications is claimed:			
Prior Foreign Application(s)					Priority Claimed		
(Number)		(Country)	(Day/Mon	th/Year Filed)	yes	no	
(Number)		(Country)	(Day/Mon	th/Year Filed)	yes	no	
insofar as the manner pro- information	he subject may vided by the t as defined in	tter of each of the cl first paragraph of Ti . Title 37, Code of F	lairns of this applicated States	Code, § 120 of any Ution is not disclosed is Code, § 112, I acku §1.56(a) which occuris application:	n the prior United S owledge the dury to	tates applicati disclose mate	on in the rial
(Application	n Serial No.)		(Filing Date)	(Stat	us: patented, pendir	g, abandoned)

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Manny W. Schecter, Reg. No. 31,722, Terry J. Ilardi, Reg. No. 29,936, Stephen C. Kaufman, Reg. No. 29,551, Louis J. Percello, Reg. No. 33,206, Jay P. Sbrollini, Reg. No. 36,266, Robert M. Trepp, Reg. No. 25,933, Daniel P. Morris, Reg. No. 32,053, Wayne L. Ellenbogen, Reg. No. 43,602, Douglas W. Cameron, Reg. No. 31,596, David M. Shofi, Reg. No. 39,835, Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, Edward A. Petmington, Reg. No. 32,588, John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279, Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No 18,753, C. Lamont Whitham, Reg. No. 22,424, Marshall M. Curtis, Reg. No. 33,138, Michael E. Whitham, Reg. No. 32,635 and Joseph M. Martinez de Andino, Reg. No. 37,178, as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to McGuireWoods, LLP, 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800, Tysons Corner, McLean, Virginia 22102-3915. Phone calls should be directed to McGuireWoods, LLP, at 703/712-500.

1 of 2

12/8/200

Docket No.: YOR9-2000-0045

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1) Inventor:

Kathryn K. Lam

Signature:

Kuthun K Zam

Residence:

56 Circle Drive, Ridgefield, CT 06877

Citizenship:

United States of America

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

(2) Inventor:

The state of the s

38

Frank J. Oles

Signature:

Frank J. Oles

Date: 12/8/2000

Residence:

150 Overlook Avenue, Apt. 6F, Pcekskill, NY 10566

Citizenship:

United States of America

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

- (a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facic case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.